## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

		NOT OF AINEO		
UNI	TED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORI	DER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Mario Ortiz-Fernandez	Case Number:	<u>11-01516M-001</u>	
present and wa	as represented by counsel. I conclude by e defendant pending trial in this case.	142(f), a detention hearing a preponderance of the control of the	ng was held on January 31, 2011. Defendant was evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I find by a prep	oonderance of the evidence that:	INDINGS OF FACT		
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the U	nited States or lawfully a	dmitted for permanent residence.	
$\boxtimes$	The defendant, at the time of the charge	charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
$\boxtimes$	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum o		years imprisonment.	
The Cat the time of t	ourt incorporates by reference the materi he hearing in this matter, except as note	al findings of the Pretrial d in the record.	Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court	
	cc	NCLUSIONS OF LAW		
1.	There is a serious risk that the defend			
2.	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.			
		NS REGARDING DETE		
a corrections fa appeal. The do of the United S	acility separate, to the extent practicable, efendant shall be afforded a reasonable of	from persons awaiting or opportunity for private cor Government, the person	is/her designated representative for confinement in serving sentences or being held in custody pending is ultation with defense counsel. On order of a court in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the innection with a court proceeding.	
	APPEALS	AND THIRD PARTY RE	LEASE	
IT IS 0 deliver a copy Court.	DRDERED that should an appeal of this of the motion for review/reconsideration to	detention order be filed w o Pretrial Services at leas	ith the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to st one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
Services suffic	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to ciently in advance of the hearing before e potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be cons the District Court to allow	sidered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial w Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATE: Janu	uary 31, 2011_		JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge	